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PREFACE
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PUBLICATION SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT
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ABSTRACT

The study was funded to explore the changes in mental health service use as older youth leave the foster care system. The data, however, examine many parameters of the lives of older youth in the foster care system, from their perspective. Four-hundred six youth in the Missouri foster care system were interviewed in person near their 17th birthday. They were re-interviewed when possible every three months until their 19th birthday. Eighty percent of the youth were interviewed at age 19. Thus, the study includes nine data points. Domains of instrumentation include psychiatric history, substance use, child maltreatment history, mental health service use, attitudes toward mental health service use, residential history, religious involvement, reading level, dating violence, trauma history, stress, perception of neighborhood, psychopathy, employment, legal involvement, childbearing, sexual activity, and much more. Few variables were assessed at each interview time point.
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STUDY OVERVIEW

Study Identification

Mental Health Service Use Of Youth Leaving Foster Care (Voyages) 2001-2003

**Principal Investigator(s):**
Curtis McMillen, Ph.D.
Washington University St. Louis, MO

Lionel D Scott, Ph.D.
Huntsville, AL

Wendy Fran Auslander, Ph.D.
Washington University ST. Louis, MI

**Funding Agency:**
National Institute of Mental Health

**Award Number:** 1R01 MH 61404

Purpose of the Study

The study examined the child to adult service transition for youths leaving the foster care system in Missouri. Youths in the foster care system are among the heaviest mental health service users and lose key service-enabling resources upon foster care exit. The aims of the study were to:

1. Document changes in mental health service use as youths leaves the foster care system.
2. Identify resources, barriers, and characteristics that are related to the change in mental health service use for youths leaving the foster care system.
3. Identify gateways into the adult mental health service system for youths who have left the foster care system.
4. Examine the relationship between continued mental health service use and early adolescent-to-adult transition outcomes.

Study Design

The study was a longitudinal cohort design. Youth were interviewed every three months from age 17 to 19 (9 interviews). Interviews one and nine were in-person. Interviews 2-8 could have been conducted over the phone. Youth were interviewed using a structured interview protocol in conjunction with a history calendar to improve recall accuracy.

Date(s) of Data Collection

Geographic Area

Youth who were in foster care and resided in selected counties in the state of Missouri.

Unit of Observation

The unit of analysis is the person.

Sample

Each month, from December 2001 to May 2003 the Missouri Children’s Division (CD, formerly the Missouri Division of Family Services), the child welfare authority in Missouri, provided to the research team the names and caseworkers of youth from eight Missouri counties who were turning 16 years, nine months of age and were in the custody and care of the Division. The eight counties included the six in and around St. Louis and two other counties in another part of the state included at the request of the CD to make the sample more ethnically representative of the state's foster care population. The CD foster care case manager was then contacted to provide informed consent. They were instructed to exclude from participation youth who 1) were no longer in CD custody; 2) had a report of a full scale IQ below 70 in the case record; or 3) did not speak English. The study team also excluded youth who were living more than 100 miles from the boundaries of one of the eight counties. After the case manager consented, youth were contacted and asked if they wanted to participate.

Summary of Study Inclusion Criteria:

1. In the legal custody of the Missouri Division of Family Services (now Children's Division).
2. Case manager county was one of eight pre-selected counties or living in one of these counties.
4. Living within 100 miles of one of the 8 counties.
5. Able to speak and understand English.
6. IQ above 70 (IQ below 70 must be documented in youth's record for exclusion).
7. Eventually, we excluded youth who remained on runaway status from their 17th birthdates to 45 days beyond.

*Note that youth were included no matter where they were living. This includes 33 youth who had been in alternative care but had been returned to live with a biological parent, but whose custody remained with the Division.

A total of 647 youths were referred to the project; 81 (13%) were excluded due to custody status changes that occurred prior to informed consent and assent being obtained; 31 (5%) were excluded because of documented full scale IQ scores below 70; 31 (5%) were excluded because they were living out of the study area (11 placed out of state with relatives, 10 placed at a secure facility out of the area that would not allow a confidential interview, and 10 placed in-state but out of the study area); 5 (1%) were excluded because they had a chronic medical condition that it made it impossible for them to communicate. In addition, 49 (8%) were excluded because they were on runaway status at age 17 and had not returned by
age 17 years, 45 days.

Of the 451 youth determined to be eligible to participate, 406 (90%) were interviewed. Of those eligible, 39 (8%) chose not to participate. We were unable to garner consent from a case manager for another 4 youth (1%), and we were unable to complete one interview for which consent and assent had been obtained.

The resulting sample of 406 young people included 228 females (57%) and 178 males (43%). Study investigators considered the sample to constitute 178 white youth (43%) and 228 youth of color (56%) that included 204 African Americans, 14 youth of mixed race; 3 American Indians, 4 Latinos, and 2 of other races. Twenty-six more young people were interviewed than was originally proposed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
<th>F5</th>
<th>F6</th>
<th>F7</th>
<th>Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection Procedures

Youth were interviewed in person for the first time near their 17th birthday at their homes or the facilities in which they were living by trained professional interviewers. Most youth were interviewed for the first time very near their 17th birthday (mean age of the youth at first interview was 16.99 years +/- .09 years), but the range was from 16 years 9 months to 17 years 5 months. All data at baseline is from in-person interview. Waves 2-8 were phone interviews. Wave 9 was an in-person interview.

Response Rates

The study’s retention goal was 85%. Attained retention was 80%.

Sources of Information

The data were collected via multiple measures combined together into one survey that was administered in person at baseline and wave nine and also by phone at waves 2-8.

Type of Data Collected

The data were collected via surveys.

Measures

BENEVOLENT WORLD SCALE (BWS)


**CHILD TRAUMA QUESTIONNAIRE (CTQ)**


**COMPREHENSIVE ADDICTION SEVERITY INDEX FOR ADOLESCENTS (CASI-A)**

For youth who had substance use in the past six months, DSM-IV substance abuse and dependence criteria were assessed with items from the Comprehensive Addiction and Severity Index for Adolescents. Study investigators added two questions to assess for (a) DSM-IV dependence criterion seven on continued use despite knowledge of a physical or psychological problem made worse by substance use, and (b) DSM-IV abuse criterion three on recurrent substance abuse related legal problems.


**CONFORMITY TO MASCULINE NORMS INVENTORY (CMNI)**


**DEPRESSION-ARKANSAS SCALE (D-ARK)**


DATING VIOLENCE QUESTIONNAIRE (DVQ)


DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW SCHEDULE (DIS)


DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW SCHEDULE VERSION FOUR (DSM-IV)


Robins, L. N. (1999). *Diagnostic interview schedule for DSM-IV (DIS-IV)*. St. Louis, MO: Washington University School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry.

INVENTORY OF ALTERED SELF-CAPACITIES (IASC)


LIFE ORIENTATION TEST-REVISED (LOT-R)


MIDWEST EVALUATION OF THE ADULT FUNCTIONING OF FORMER FOSTER YOUTH (MEAFF)


**MCMILLEN CHANGES IN RESIDENCE**


**MCMILLEN CHILDBEARING HISTORY**


**MCMILLEN CONTACT WITH FAMILIES**


**MCMILLEN CUSTODY**


**MCMILLEN EDUCATION**


**MCMILLEN EMPLOYMENT**


**MCMILLEN EVENTS**


**MCMILLEN FINANCIAL ASSETS**

McMillen General Medical Questions


McMillen Helpful People


McMillen Influential Adults


McMillen Knowledge of Service Providers


McMillen Miscellaneous Questions for the Chaffee Evaluation


McMillen Transracial Placements and Caseworkers


McMillen Views of Mental Health Providers


Multidimensional Measure of Religiousness/Spirituality (MMRS)


Multigroup Ethnic Identity Measure (MEIM)

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0272431699019003001

NATIONAL SURVEY OF CHILD AND ADOLESCENT WELL-BEING (NSCAW)


NATIONAL YOUTH SURVEY (NYS)


doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2001.tb00918.x

NEIGHBORHOOD SATISFACTION SCALE (NSS B)

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/001391659702900405

NIMH DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR CHILDREN VERSION IV (NIMH DISC-IV)


ORIENTATIONS TO SEEKING PROFESSIONAL HELP: ATTITUDE SCALE (OSPH)


PERCEIVED STRESS SCALE


PERSONAL EXPERIENCE INVENTORY (PEI)


PSYCHOPATHY PERSONALITY INVENTORY - SHORT FORM (PPI-SF)


RELATIONAL HEALTH INDICES (RHI)


ROSENBERG SELF ESTEEM SCALE (RSES)


RUSSELL SEXUAL ABUSE


SERVICE ASSESSMENT FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS (SACA)

**SERVICE ASSESSMENT FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS (SACA)**


**STUDENTS' LIFE SATISFACTION SCALE (SLSS)**


**TEENAGER EXPERIENCE OF RACIAL SOCIALIZATION SCALE (TERS)**


**THE PERCEIVED BENEFIT SCALE (PBS)**


**WIDE RANGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (WRAT)**


Related Publications & Reports

*Users are strongly encouraged to obtain these references before doing analyses.*


**Analytic Considerations**

For the index data only, some missing data was hotdecked (we explored the data for the most robust correlates of the item that had missing data, then sorted the data by these correlates and a random value and then imputed from the score above it). We did this for the substance abuse measures, Diagnostic Interview measures when missing data precluded us from making diagnoses, child maltreatment, and service use measures.

The data for waves 2-9 was imputed using IVEware (Raghunathan, Solenberger & Van Hoewyk, 2002), a multiple imputation program that uses a sequence of regression models, varying the types of regression model by the type of variable being imputed. Covariates include other variables observed or imputed on that individual across the different waves, maximizing the amount of information available to impute missing data. Although IVEware is touted as being able to use all existing data for the purposes of, we found the program did not operate well when using more than 30 covariates. So, we screened potential covariates for the strongest predictors of the variable being imputed and used these in our regression calculations.

Five imputed datasets were created using different seeds. Rubin (1987), using a formula created to estimate the efficiency of estimates based on the number of implicate datasets used, noted that 3-10 implicates typically achieved sufficient efficiency in estimated missing values. The greater the proportion of missing values, the more implicates needed for reliable estimates. With 20% missing values for final interview data, 5 implicates create an efficiency value of 96, whereas increasing the number of implicates to 10 increases the efficiency only to 98. Five seems to be a fair compromise number balancing relative precision in point estimates and variances and the extra work required for creating additional implicates and analyzing multiple datasets.


**Confidentiality Protection**

All primary identifiers were removed from the dataset by the data contributor.

**Extent of Collection**
This collection consists of the User's Guide, contributor developed codebook and supporting documentation, the project's final report, and data files native to SAS, SPSS, and Stata.

Extent of Processing

NDACAN produced the User's Guide and the SPSS, SAS, & Stata native files.

DATA FILE INFORMATION

File Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>Variable Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DS_133</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12,047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data File Notes

Youth were scheduled for interviews every three months from age 17 to age 19. Not all youth completed all waves. The variables interview_2 through interview_9 indicate whether youth participated in that interview wave. You can subset the data into those who completed a specific wave (e.g., SAS: where interview_3=1;)

Each variable in the dataset indicates from which interview the data was collected by use of the final underscore in the variable name variable_3, for example, would indicate that the data was from the third interview.

The dataset contains variables with an underscore of _10. This is data that was abstracted from the history calendar information collected on each youth to increase accuracy. We realized after data was collected that we could save hundreds of programming hours by abstracting information from the history calendar. Many of the most valuable variables are summary variables with an underscore of _10. Look at the history calendar abstraction form to decipher these variables.

Study investigators imputed five datasets. If a user wants to use only one dataset (instead of for example, using proc mianalyze to combine results from the five datasets), they can easily subset this using the variable "@_mult_", which ranges from one to five (e.g., SAS: where @_mult_=1; returns results from the first imputed datasets. All data from the first interview is identical in the five datasets.

Technical support for this dataset is provided by NDACAN. Please send your inquiries to NDACANSUPPORT@cornell.edu